



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,614	02/24/2004	Douglas E. LeCrone	E30-046CON	2946

34021 7590 07/14/2006

GEORGE A. HERBSTER
40 BEACH STREET
SUITE 303
MANCHESTER, MA 01944

EXAMINER

MEHRMANESH, ELMIRA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2113

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/785,614	LECRONE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elmira Mehrmanesh	2113	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _____ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

The application of LeCrone et al., for a "Method and apparatus for enabling consistent ancillary disk array storage device operations with respect to a main application" filed February 24, 2004, has been examined.

Claims 1-9 are presented for examination.

Information disclosed and listed on PTO 1449 has been considered.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 USC § 102.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-7 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,754,682.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1, 4, and 7 of the patent includes all of the limitations in claim 1, 4, and 7 of the instant application. With regard to the additional limitations in claims 1, 4, and 7 of the patent (e.g., generating a return to the host indicating that the shift to the second mode has been completed) which are not included in claims 1, 4, and 7 of the instant application is an obvious expedient since the remaining limitations in claims 1, 4, and 7 of the patent perform the same function as the limitations in claims 1, 4, and 7 of the instant application (In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963)).

Claims 2, 5, and 6 of the instant application are identical to claims 2, 5, and 6 of the patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gagne et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,370,626).

As per claim 1, Gagne discloses in a data processing system (Fig. 1, element 10) including a host (Fig. 1, element 11) and at least one disk array storage device (Fig. 1, element 14) including a plurality of first logical devices (Fig. 1, elements 34-37) for interacting with a first application (Fig. 1, element 12) and that constitute a consistency group and one second logical device (Fig. 1, elements 34-37) that can interact in a first mode as a mirror for a corresponding first logical device in the consistency group (col. 4, lines 23-35) a method for enabling the shift of the second logical devices to the second mode in a consistent manner whereby each second logical device can interact with a second application (Fig. 1, element 13) with read and write capabilities (col. 4, lines 40-45) said method for shifting to the second mode comprising the steps of:

A) generating a request data structure for each second logical device (col. 5, lines 5-18)

B) preventing write operations to any of the first logical devices in the consistency group (Fig. 5, element 168)

C) initiating a shift all of the identified second logical devices in the consistency group to the second mode after said disablement (Fig. 5)

D) enabling write operations to all the first logical devices in the consistency group upon completion of said shifting (Fig. 6, element 194) whereby interactions between the first application and all the first logical devices in the consistency group resume and whereby the second application can interact with the second logical devices in the consistency group (col. 14, lines 14-20).

As per claim 2, Gagne discloses the disk array storage device (Fig. 1, element 14) includes a buffer (Fig. 1, element 20) and a write operation includes a first transfer of data from a host to the buffer as a write pending entry and a second transfer of the write pending entry to a logical device and wherein said shifting of a second logical device to the second mode includes detaching the second logical device from its corresponding first logical device, attaching the second logical device to the second application (col. 6, lines 57-61) and managing the transfer of write pending entries in the buffer (Fig. 3, element 100), said initiation of the shift of the identified second logical devices including said detaching and attaching steps (col. 6, lines 57-61 and col. 14, lines 14-20).

As per claim 3, Gagne discloses managing of write pending entry transfers occurs after said write operations to said first logical devices resume (col. 10, lines 59-

Art Unit: 2113

67 through col. 11, lines 1-6) and (Fig. 10A, 10B).

As per claim 4, Gagne discloses in a data processing system (Fig. 1, element 10) including a host (Fig. 1, element 11) and at least one disk array storage device (Fig. 1, element 14) including a plurality of first logical devices (Fig. 1, elements 34-37) for interacting with a first application (Fig. 1, element 12) and that constitute a consistency group and a second logical device (Fig. 1, elements 34-37) that can interact in a first mode as a mirror for each corresponding first logical device in the consistency group (col. 4, lines 23-35) a control means for enabling the shift of the second logical devices to a second mode in a consistent manner whereby each second logical device can interact with a second application (Fig. 1, element 13) with read and write capabilities (col. 4, lines 40-45) said controller comprising:

A) request means for generating a request data structure for second logical device (col. 5, lines 5-18)

B) locking means for preventing write operations to any of the first logical devices in the consistency group (Fig. 5, element 168)

C) mode shift means initiating a shift all of the identified second logical devices in the consistency group to the second mode after said disablement (Fig. 5)

D) unlocking means for enabling write operations to all the first logical devices in the consistency group upon completion of said shifting (Fig. 6, element 194) whereby interactions between the first application and all the first logical devices in the

Art Unit: 2113

consistency group resume and whereby the second application can interact with a second logical device in the consistency group (col. 14, lines 14-20).

As per claim 5, Gagne discloses the disk array storage device (Fig. 1, element 14) includes a buffer (Fig. 1, element 20) and a write operation includes a first transfer of data from a host to the buffer as a write pending entry and a second transfer of the write pending entry to a logical device and wherein said shifting of a second logical device to the second mode includes detaching the second logical device from its corresponding first logical device, attaching the second logical device to the second application (col. 6, lines 57-61) and managing the transfer of write pending entries in the buffer (Fig. 3, element 100) said interaction means enabling the resumption of interaction between the first application and corresponding first logical devices after the detaching and attaching of all the identified second logical devices (col. 14, lines 14-20).

As per claim 6, Gagne discloses each of said identified second logical devices includes means for managing write pending entry transfers after said interaction means enables the resumption of interactions between the first application and the first logical devices (col. 10, lines 59-67 through col. 11, lines 1-6) and (Fig. 10A, 10B).

As per claim 7, Gagne discloses a program for operation in a data processing system (Fig. 1, element 10) including a host (Fig. 1, element 11) and at least one disk array storage device (Fig. 1, element 14) including a plurality of first logical devices (Fig.

Art Unit: 2113

1, elements 34-37) for interacting with a first application (Fig. 1, element 12) and that constitute a consistency group and one second logical device that can interact in a first mode as a mirror for a corresponding first logical device in the consistency group (col. 4, lines 23-35) the program enabling the shift of the second logical devices (Fig. 1, elements 34-37) to the second mode in a consistent manner whereby each second logical device can interact with a second application (Fig. 1, element 13) with read and write capabilities (col. 4, lines 40-45), said program comprising:

A) a module for generating a request data structure for each second logical device (col. 5, lines 5-18)

B) a module for preventing write operations to any of the first logical devices in the consistency group (Fig. 5, element 168)

C) a module for initiating a shift all of the identified second logical devices in the consistency group to the second mode after said disablement (Fig. 5)

D) a module for enabling write operations to all the first logical devices in the consistency group upon completion of said shifting (Fig. 6, element 194) whereby interactions between the first application and all the first logical devices in the consistency group resume and whereby the second application can interact with the second logical devices in the consistency group (col. 14, lines 14-20).

As per claim 8, Gagne discloses disk array storage device (Fig. 1, element 14) includes a buffer (Fig. 1, element 20) and a write operation includes a first transfer of data from a host to the buffer as a write pending entry (Fig. 3, element 100) and a

Art Unit: 2113

second transfer of the write pending entry to a logical device and wherein said shift initiating module detaches the second logical device from its corresponding first logical device, attaches the second logical device to the second application and a write pending module manages the transfer of write pending entries in the buffer (col. 14, lines 14-20).

As per claim 9, Gagne discloses write pending module manages write pending entry transfers after said write operations to said first logical devices resume (col. 10, lines 59-67 through col. 11, lines 1-6) and (Fig. 10A, 10B).

Related Prior Art

The following prior art is considered to be pertinent to applicant's invention, but nor relied upon for claim analysis conducted above.

Schneider et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,240,527), "Method software and apparatus for saving using and recovering data".

Litwin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,122,754), "Method and system for data recovery using a distributed and scalable data structure".

Kauffman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,199,179), "Method and apparatus for failure recovery in a multi-processor computer system".

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elmira Mehrmanesh whose telephone number is (571) 272-5531. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W. Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert W. Beausoliel
ROBERT W. BEAUSOLIEL
PATENT EXAMINER
UNIT 2113